

*8/ Election  
w/traverse*  
Beiersdorf 749-HCL  
6713-Dr. Rs-hf 200/282

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS : DRUCKS et al. *Bet*

SERIAL NO. : 10/001,565 *5-2-03*

FILED : 15 NOVEMBER 2001

FOR : COSMETIC OR DERMATOLOGICAL IMPREGNATED WIPES

ART UNIT : 1619

EXAMINER : Jennifer Kim

29 April 2003

Hon. Commissioner of Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

SIR:

In response to the restriction requirement dated 26 March 2003, Applicants hereby elect with traverse to prosecute the invention of claim 4 (see Remarks section below for further clarification).

## CONDITIONAL PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

If entry and consideration of this response to the restriction requirement requires an extension of time, Applicants respectfully request that this be considered a petition therefor. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fee(s) due in this connection to Deposit Account No. 14-1263.

## ADDITIONAL FEE

Please charge any insufficiency of fees, or credit any excess, to Deposit Account No. 14-1263.

## REMARKS

**Election and Summary of Telephone Conversation**

The applicants' elect the invention represented by forms disclosed in claim 4 which is directed toward a wipe moistened with an impregnation solution having a viscosity less than 2000 mPa.s.

The applicants' U.S. representative telephoned the examiner to convey the results of a discussion with the applicants' German representative which indicated that if the election was considered from the perspective of the examiner, it appears the subject matter of claim 4 could've been included in the election of species requirement. Although the applicants request that the entire invention be examined, if the election is maintained, it is requested that the subject matter of claim 4 be examined.

If this is not possible (or if the election of claim 4 cannot be correlated to a selection based on the examiner's original choices of oils or alcohol), it is requested that the examiner telephone the undersigned so that an oral election can be made. Applicants reserve the right to prosecute the subject matter of the non-elected claims in a divisional application, if such subject matter is not ultimately granted here.

**Traversal of Election**

Regarding the traversal, the applicants present that the election was made against the impregnation solutions but the invention is directed toward a wipe. For either situation, no reasons were given as to why claim 1 was deemed to be generic. (see MPEP 816 - "The particular reasons relied upon by the examiner for holding that the invention as claimed are either independent or distinct should be concisely stated. A mere statement of conclusion is inadequate.")

Moreover, even if claim 1 is generic to plurality of patentably distinct species, there must be some indication or reason why this plurality represents an undue burden upon the PTO. (see MPEP 803 - "If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.")

Early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

NORRIS MC LAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A.

By

*Howard C. Lee*

Howard C. Lee  
Reg. No. 48,104

220 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
30<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 808-0700

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that the foregoing Response to Restriction Requirement (2 pages total) is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date indicated below:

Date: 29 April 2003

By

*Vilma I. Fernandez*

**FACSIMILE COVER SHEET****FAX RECEIVED**

NORRIS MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A.  
220 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
30<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
Tel.: (212) 808-0700  
Fax.: (212) 808-0844

APR 30 2003

GROUP 160n

**OFFICIAL****Date:** 29 April 2003**To:** Examiner Jennifer Kim  
US Patent and Trademark Office  
Tel. No. 703-308-2232  
Fax: 703-308-4556**Subject:** USSN 10/001,565  
Our Ref.: Beiersdorf 749-HCL**From:** Howard C. Lee**Comments:** Filing of: response to Office Action dated 26 March 2003, including Response to Restriction Requirement (2 pgs.); and Petition for Extension of Time (2 pgs.)

If you have any questions or need further information, please contact us.

You should receive 5 pages including this cover sheet.

The information contained in this facsimile message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately at the number above and discard the original message.